UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,576	02/24/2004	William A. McCarty	KSCII.016A	1813
20995 7590 03/19/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			PAUL, DISLER	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,576	MCCARTY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DISLER PAUL	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 1/2 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/786,576 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The applicant's amended claims (33, 35) wherein the display being secured to a wall and the rail is configured to be secured to the wall independent from the display device" has been considered and rejected in view of <u>Donohoe (US 5,737,123)</u>.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6; 9-21, 24-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlatmann et al. (US 6,298,942 B1) and Simon (US 2001/0027560 A1).

RE claim 1, Schlatmann et al. disclose of the modular mounting bar for securing components for used with a display device to a surface separate from the display device comprising: a plurality of audio modules and a rail configured to be attached to the surface via at least one of a plurality of openings disposed along the rail (fig.1 (9a,9b); fig.2 col.4 line 35-60/rail/support of audio module to be attached) and the rail being configured to receive each of the audio

Art Unit: 2614

modules at a respective one of a plurality of coupling points along the rail (fig.2; col.4 line 45-67/rail on the Housing (3) for receiving the audio module) and wherein the rail is not coupled to the display device (fig.2; fig.1,3 (9a,3); col.3 line 60-67/tv screen and rail-are not coupled in fact separated by housing (3)).

Schlatmann et al. further disclosed of having a cover configured to be secured in front of at least a portion of one of the modules (col.2 line 1-6). But, Schlatmann fail to disclose of the specific wherein having visual modules. But, Simon disclose of a system wherein similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated (fig.6; par [0043]). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination with the similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs.

Re claim 2, Schlatmann et al. discloses a modular mounting system for audio components for used with a display device comprising: at least one audio module and a rail wherein the rail is configured to be attached other than surfaces of the display device and wherein the rail is separate from the display device and wherein the rail is configured to receive the module at a plurality of locations along the rail (fig.1-3; col.4 line 45-67/rail on housing separate form the

display fig.2; fig.1,3 (9a,3); col.3 line 60-67/tv screen and rail-are not coupled in fact separated by housing (3))).

But, Schlatmann et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein having visual modules. But, Simon disclose of a system wherein similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated (fig.6; par [0043]). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination with the similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs.

Re claim 3, the modular mounting system of claim 2; further comprising having a cover that is configured to be securely position in front of the modules (col.4 line 1-5).

Re claim 4, the modular mounting system of claim 3, wherein the cover is configured to be secured to the at least one module (col.4 line 1-5).

Re claim 5, the modular mounting system of claim 3, wherein the cover comprises a grille (see claim 4 rejection).

Re claim 6, the modular mounting system of claim 2, the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, as a whole,

disclose of the wherein the rail comprises a plurality of openings configured to receive a fastener and the fastener being securable to the surface (fig.2 (13a,13a1); col.4 lien 45-56).

Re claim 9, the modular mounting system of claim 2, wherein the at least one audio-visual module comprises a loudspeaker (fig.1-3).

Re claim 10, the modular mounting system of claim 2, wherein at least one audio-visual module comprises a DVD player (Simon, fig.6; par [0043])

Re claim 11, the assembly of claim 2, further comprising many different modules in the rail, wherein the module incorporating the specific of an amplifier (fig.1-3).

Re claim 12, the modular mounting system of claim 2, wherein the at least one audio-visual module comprises many different modules in the rail, However, the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, fail to disclose of the module incorporating the specific of a television tuner. However, official notice is taken the concept of the module being incorporating with another device and such device of being the television tuner is designer's preference, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modify the combined teaching of Schlatmann et

al. and Simon as a whole, by incorporating the different modules and specifically a television tuner for the purpose of outputting audible sound level to be heard by the listener.

Re claim 16, the assembly of claim 2, further comprising many different modules in the rail, wherein the at least one of the audiovisual modules comprising a compact disk player (Simon; fig.6;par [0043]).

Re claim 17, the assembly of claim 2, further comprising many different modules in the rail, wherein the at least one of the audio-visual modules comprising a digital video recorder (Simon; fig.6;par [0043]).

Re claims 13-15,18, 19-21 have been analyzed and rejected With respect to claim 12.

Re claim 24, Schlatmann et al. discloses the method of mounting audio component for use with a display device, to a surface separate form the display device, comprising: securing a rail to the surface and connecting an audio component to the rail (fig.1-3; col.4 line 45-67; fig.2; fig.1,3 (9a,3); col.3 line 60-67/tv screen and rail-are not coupled in fact separated by housing (3)).

But, Schlatmann et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein having visual components. But, Simon disclose of a system wherein similar concept of the specific wherein having visual components (fig.6; par [0043]) for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs. thus, taking the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schlatmann et al. with the similar concept of the specific wherein having visual components for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs.

Re claim 25, the method of claim 24, further comprising connecting at least one additional audio-visual component to the rail (fig.1-3).

Re claim 26, Schlatmann et al. discloses of the assembly for mounting audio components for use with a wall-mounted display device, the assembly comprising: at least two audio modules comprising loudspeakers and a rail wherein the rail is configured to only to be attached to a surface other than the display devuce, and wherein the rail is configured to receive the module (fig.1-3; col.4 line 45-67]/rail to be attached on surface and module incorporated) and cover with the display and mating with the module ([col.4 line 1-6] and (fig.1-3; col.4 line 45-67/rail on housing separate form the display fig.2; fig.1,3 (9a,3); col.3 line 60-67/tv screen and rail-are not coupled in fact separated by housing (3))).

But, Schlatmann et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein having visual modules. But, Simon disclose of a system wherein similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated (fig.6; par [0043]) for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs. thus, taking the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schlatmann et al. with the similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs.

However, the combined teaching of the Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, fail to disclose of the cover specific having a dimension approximately equal to a length of the display. However, it is noted that the concept of having a cover dimension approximately equal to a length of the display is merely an obvious variation of the designer's choice, thus, it would have been obvious to have incorporating the specific of having a dimension approximately equal to a length of the display for improving the aesthetic look of the appearance of the flat panel monitor with the speaker length.

Re claim 27, the assembly of claim 26, wherein the cover is configured to be coupled to the modules (col.4 line 1-7).

Art Unit: 2614

Re claim 28, the assembly of claim 26, wherein the cover is configured to be coupled to the rail (fig.2; col. 4 line 1-7).

Re claim 29, the assembly of claim 26, wherein the cover comprises a grille (col.4 line 1-5).

Re claim 30, the assembly of claim 26, further comprising a third module comprising a loudspeaker (fig.1-3/multiple speakers module).

Re claim 31, the assembly of claim 26, further comprising many different modules in the rail, wherein the module incorporating the specific of an amplifier (fig.1,3).

Re claim 32 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 14.

3. Claims 33-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlatmann et al. (US 6,298,942 B1) and Donohoe (US 5,737,123) and Simon (US 2001/0027560 A1).

Re claim 33, S disclosed of the modular mounting bar for securing components in proximity to a display device and the inherent of having a width and the display device being secured comprising: a rail having mounting locations for audio components and configured to be secured

to the apparatus (fig.1 (3); fig.2; (col.3 line 60-67; fig.1-3; col.45-67/wall mounted apparatus for wall with rail to be attached to the apparatus with the display device (col.3 line 1-12/herein the TV set) for wall mounted purpose being secured to the apparatus).

However, Schlatmann et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein the display device being secured to a wall and having the rail to be secured to the wall independent from the display device.

But, Donohoe disclose of such similar concept wherein the display device being secured to a wall and having the rail to be secured to the wall independent from the display device (fig.3A (20); fig.3B; col.4 line 15-27; col.4 line 60-65/rail of speakers and display independently secured to walls). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination with the display device being secured to a wall and having the rail to be secured to the wall independent from the display device for stabilizing the speaker baffle board to the display device.

The combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Donohoe as a whole, disclose of the means for connecting an audio component to multiple locations on the rail so that component locations corresponding to the display device (col.3 line 60-67; fig.1-3; col.45-67).

Art Unit: 2614

But, they fail to disclose of the specific wherein the audio component locations match the width of the display device. But, Donohoe disclose of such specific wherein audio component locations match the width of the display device (col.5 line 1-10). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination with audio component locations match the width of the display device for readily accommodating the display to the audio component.

But, combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Donohoe as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific wherein having visual modules. But, Simon disclose of a system wherein similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated (fig.6; par [0043]) for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs. thus, taking the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schlatmann et al. with the similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs.

Art Unit: 2614

Re claim 34, the module mounting bar of claim 33, further comprising means for connecting at least one additional audio-visual component to the rail (fig.1,3).

Re claim 35, Schlatmann et al. disclosed of a modular mounting bar for securing components in proximity to a display device and inherently having a width, comprising: a plurality of audio modules (fig.1,3); a rail configured to be secured and having a length and length of the rail is greater than the width of each of the modules and wherein the rail has a plurality of mounting holes at each of a plurality of module mounting locations corresponding to the display width of the display device and wherein each of the modules is configured to be attached to the rail at a respective one of the module mounting locations (fig.1-3; col.45-67) and a cover having a length (col.4 line 1-7).

But, Schlatmann et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein having visual modules. But, Simon disclose of a system wherein similar concept of the specific wherein having visual modules incorporated (fig.6; par [0043]) for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs. thus, taking the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Schlatmann et al. with the similar concept of the specific

wherein having visual modules incorporated for purpose of providing additional system that may be integrated with the television programs.

But, the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, fail to disclose of the display device being secured to a wall and a rail configured to be secured to the wall independent from the display device.

But, Donohoe disclose of such similar concept wherein the display device being secured to a wall and having the rail to be secured to the wall independent from the display device (fig.3A (20); fig.3B; col.4 line 15-27; col.4 line 60-65/rail of speakers and display independently secured to walls). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination with the display device being secured to a wall and having the rail to be secured to the wall independent from the display device for stabilizing the speaker baffle board to the display device.

But, the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon and Donohoe as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific wherein rail length no greater than the display width. But, it is taken the concept of having wherein rail length no greater than the display width is merely an obvious variation of the designer's need with no unexpected

result. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination with the rail length no greater than the display width for providing the appropriate aesthetic look to the frame corresponding to the display.

The combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon and Donohoe as a whole, disclose of having a cover (col.2 line 1-6). But, they fail to disclose of the specific wherein the said cover length being substantially the same as the width of the display device. But, it is noted t the concept of having wherein cover length being substantially the same as the display width is merely an obvious variation of the designer's need. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combination, with wherein the said cover length being substantially the same as the display width for providing the appropriate aesthetic look to the frame corresponding to the display.

Re claims 36-48 have been analyzed and rejected with respect to claims 9-21 respectively.

4. Claims 7-8; 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Schlatmann et al. (US 6,298,942 B1) and Simon (US 2001/0027560 A1) and further in view of Tajima (US 7,034,902 B2).

Art Unit: 2614

Re claim 7, the modular mounting system of claim 2, but, the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, fail to disclose of the rail comprises a base configured to define a mating relationship with the at leas one module, a pair of flanges that define a groove running along the lengthwise edge of the base. But, Tajima disclose of a rail wherein similar concept of rail comprises a base configured to define a mating relationship with the at leas one module, a pair of flanges that define a groove running along the lengthwise edge of the base (fig.7 wt (50a)/top and edge; col.14 line 15-28, col.13 line 45-52) for purpose of securing the module to the railing surface. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon and Tajima as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Schlatmann and Simon as a whole, with the similar concept of rail comprises a base configured to define a mating relationship with the at leas one module, a pair of flanges that define a groove running along the lengthwise edge of the base for purpose of securing the module to the railing surface.

RE claim 8, the modular mounting system of claim 7, wherein the pair of flanges each extend along with each other and opposite longitude edge of the base, However, the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon and Tajima as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific wherein the pair of flanges extend perpendicular to each other and

Art Unit: 2614

having a portion comprising an inwardly extending lip. However, official notice is taken the idea of having the flanges being specifically flanges extend perpendicular to each other and having a portion comprising an inwardly extending lip is simply the inventor's preference, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modify the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon and Tajima as a whole, by incorporating the idea of having the flanges being specifically flanges extend perpendicular to each other and having a portion comprising an inwardly extending lip for securing the modules device.

Re claim 22, the method of claim 24, However, teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, fail to disclose of the wherein the rail having portions thereof that define a channel and wherein the audiovisual component has attachment surfaces that matingly connect with the channel to the rail, so as to fix the component in a desired location on the rail. But, Tajima disclose of a rail wherein similar concept of wherein the rail having portions thereof that define a channel and wherein the audio-visual component has attachment surfaces that matingly connect with the channel to the rail, so as to fix the component in a desired location on the rail (fig.7 wt (50a)/top and edge; col.14 line 15-28, col.13 line 45-52) for purpose of securing the module to the railing surface. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Lee and Simon and Tajima as a whole, it would have been obvious for

one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Schlatmann et al. and Simon as a whole, with the similar concept of rail wherein the rail having portions thereof that define a channel and wherein the audio-visual component has attachment surfaces that matingly connect with the channel to the rail, so as to fix the component in a desired location on the rail for purpose of securing the module to the railing surface.

Re claim 23, the method of claim 22, further comprising: securing a cover to the component so as to secure the cover in a desired location on the component (col.4 line 1-5).

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Disler Paul whose telephone number is 571-270-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/786,576 Page 18

Art Unit: 2614

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614